

REMARKS / ARGUMENTS

Claims 60-87 have been presented for examination. Claims 60-62 and 84-87 have been rejected. Claims 63-83 have been allowed. Applicants would like to thank Examiner for identifying the allowable subject matter.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements filed on April 2, 2003 and April 4, 2003 have been objected to as containing duplicate entries. Applicants have submitted herewith a supplemental information disclosure statement with appropriate fees required under C.F.R. 1.17(p).

Rejections under the Judicially Created Doctrine of Obviousness Type Double Patenting

Claims 60-62 and 84-87 have been rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting over claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,571,384. To obviate the obviousness type double patenting rejection, Applicants have submitted herewith a terminal disclaimer to disclaim the terminal part of any patent granted on the present application, which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent No. 5,571,384. Applicants request withdrawal of the double patenting rejection for claims 60-62 and 84-87.

CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of pending claims 60-87 of the present application are in proper condition for allowance. Applicants believe that all necessary fees are enclosed with this response. In the event, however, that any additional fees are required to complete this filing, the Commissioner is authorized to charge those fees, or credit any overpayment, to Account No. 13-0480, Attorney Docket No. 95121961.114002RCE.

If Examiner has any questions regarding this Amendment and Response to Office Action or the Application in general, Examiner is invited to contact the Applicants' attorney at the below-listed telephone number.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 24, 2003



Brian C. McCormack
Reg. No. 36,601
BAKER & McKENZIE
2001 Ross Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75201
(214) 978-3007 (telephone)
(214) 978-3099 (facsimile)